IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA CLAIRE BANKSTON,		Case No. C07-03396-JW-PVT
v.	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [BROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
PATENAUDE & FEI PROFESSIONAL CO California corporation ALCIDE PATENAU his official capacity,	ORPORATION, a	
	Defendants.	
	that they have met and co ursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to pa	rticipate in the following	ADR process:
Court Process	es:	
□ Non-	binding Arbitration (ADR	R L.R. 4)
□ Early	Neutral Evaluation (ENE	E) (ADR L.R. 5)
⊠ Med	iation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably more likel ADR phone conference	y to meet their needs that	ent conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an n. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.)
Private Proces	ss:	
	Private ADR (please ident	tify process and provider)
The parties earee to be	old the ADR session by:	
•	•	(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the
		o an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	v c	

Dated: October 4, 20	007	/s/ Fred W. Schwinn	
		Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: October 4, 20)07	/s/ Matthew B. Golding	
	, 0 , -	Attorney for Defendants	
	[PROPUSED]] ORDER	
Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:			
	Non-binding Arbitration		
	Early Neutral Evaluation (El	NE)	
X	Mediation		
	Private ADR		
Deadline for A	ADR session		
90 days from the date of this		order.	
	other		
IT IS SO ORDERED).		
october 1	2 2007	James Whie	
Dated: October 12, 2007			
		DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES WARE	